

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended October 27, 2012

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 000-51315

**CITI TRENDS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**52-2150697**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**104 Coleman Boulevard  
Savannah, Georgia**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**31408**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code **(912) 236-1561**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<u>Class</u>	<u>Outstanding as of November 13, 2012</u>
Common Stock, \$.01 par value	15,139,820 shares

<a href="#">Item 1</a>	<a href="#">Financial Statements</a>	
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012</a>	3
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011</a>	4
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Thirteen weeks ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011</a>	4
	<a href="#">Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011</a>	5
	<a href="#">Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</a>	6
<a href="#">Item 2</a>	<a href="#">Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	10
<a href="#">Item 3</a>	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	14
<a href="#">Item 4</a>	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	14
<a href="#">PART II</a>	<a href="#">OTHER INFORMATION</a>	
<a href="#">Item 1</a>	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 1A</a>	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 2</a>	<a href="#">Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 3</a>	<a href="#">Defaults Upon Senior Securities</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 4</a>	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosures</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 5</a>	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	15
<a href="#">Item 6</a>	<a href="#">Exhibits</a>	16
	<a href="#">SIGNATURES</a>	17

[Table of Contents](#)

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Financial Statements.**

**Citi Trends, Inc.**

**Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012  
(Unaudited)  
(in thousands, except share data)**

	<u>October 27, 2012</u>	<u>January 28, 2012</u>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,195	\$ 41,986
Short-term investment securities	4,734	902
Inventory	146,700	131,526
Prepaid and other current assets	11,000	10,522
Income tax receivable	2,882	11,195
Deferred tax asset	6,141	5,829
Assets held for sale	1,415	1,415
Total current assets	<u>209,067</u>	<u>203,375</u>
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$136,997 and \$118,875 as of October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012, respectively	76,197	90,541
Long-term investment securities	14,957	18,840
Deferred tax asset	1,512	1,223
Other assets	756	798
Total assets	<u>\$ 302,489</u>	<u>\$ 314,777</u>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 67,098	\$ 78,941
Accrued expenses	16,961	15,729

Accrued compensation	8,730	10,345
Layaway deposits	2,544	603
Total current liabilities	95,333	105,618
Other long-term liabilities	11,126	12,756
Total liabilities	106,459	118,374
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 32,000,000 shares; 15,305,570 shares issued as of October 27, 2012 and 15,062,300 shares issued as of January 28, 2012; 15,139,820 shares outstanding as of October 27, 2012 and 14,896,550 outstanding as of January 28, 2012	148	148
Paid-in-capital	79,736	78,588
Retained earnings	116,311	117,832
Treasury stock, at cost; 165,750 shares as of October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012	(165)	(165)
Total stockholders' equity	196,030	196,403
Commitments and contingencies (note 10)		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 302,489	\$ 314,777

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

[Table of Contents](#)

**Citi Trends, Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
**Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended	
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011
Net sales	\$ 478,997	\$ 462,468
Cost of sales	308,739	295,789
Gross profit	170,258	166,679
Selling, general and administrative expenses	154,733	154,819
Depreciation and amortization	18,153	18,389
Asset impairment	660	2,305
Loss from operations	(3,288)	(8,834)
Interest income	194	180
Interest expense	(163)	(27)
Loss before income tax expense	(3,257)	(8,681)
Income tax benefit	(1,736)	(3,982)
Net loss	\$ (1,521)	\$ (4,699)
Basic net loss per common share	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.32)
Diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.32)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		
Basic	14,662	14,584
Diluted	14,662	14,584

**Citi Trends, Inc.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
**Thirteen Weeks Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands, except per share data)**

	Thirteen Weeks Ended	
	October 27, 2012	October 29, 2011
Net sales	\$ 148,985	\$ 143,067
Cost of sales	97,808	94,909
Gross profit	51,177	48,158
Selling, general and administrative expenses	51,132	53,059
Depreciation and amortization	5,970	6,454
Asset impairment	660	696
Loss from operations	(6,585)	(12,051)
Interest income	66	61
Interest expense	(50)	(17)
Loss before income tax benefit	(6,569)	(12,007)
Income tax benefit	(2,869)	(5,246)
Net loss	\$ (3,700)	\$ (6,761)
Basic net loss per common share	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.46)

Diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.46)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		
Basic	14,677	14,602
Diluted	14,677	14,602

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

4

[Table of Contents](#)

**Citi Trends, Inc.**

**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands)**

	<b>Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended</b>	
	<b>October 27, 2012</b>	<b>October 29, 2011</b>
<b>Operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (1,521)	\$ (4,699)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	18,153	18,389
Asset impairment	660	2,305
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	14	267
Deferred income taxes	(601)	(7)
Noncash stock-based compensation expense	1,980	2,192
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	463	(860)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Inventory	(15,174)	(5,724)
Prepaid and other current assets	(478)	(1,210)
Other assets	42	(229)
Accounts payable	(11,843)	(3,814)
Accrued expenses and other long-term liabilities	917	5,877
Accrued compensation	(1,615)	323
Income tax receivable/payable	7,850	(8,189)
Layaway deposits	1,941	2,919
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>788</u>	<u>7,540</u>
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Sales/redemptions of investment securities	51	1,080
Purchases of investment securities	—	(11,049)
Purchases of property and equipment	(5,798)	(33,766)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(5,747)</u>	<u>(43,735)</u>
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Excess tax benefits from stock-based payment arrangements	(463)	860
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	—	23
Shares acquired to settle withholding taxes on the vesting of nonvested restricted stock	(369)	(694)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(832)</u>	<u>189</u>
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5,791)	(36,006)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents:</b>		
Beginning of period	41,986	69,231
End of period	<u>\$ 36,195</u>	<u>\$ 33,225</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 109	\$ —
Cash (refunds) payments of income taxes	\$ (8,985)	\$ 4,214
<b>Supplemental disclosures of noncash investing activities:</b>		
Decrease in accrual for purchases of property and equipment	\$ (1,315)	\$ (1,320)

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

5

[Table of Contents](#)

**Citi Trends, Inc.**  
**Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**  
**October 27, 2012**

1. Basis of Presentation

Citi Trends, Inc. and its subsidiary (the “Company”) operate as a value-priced retailer of urban fashion apparel and accessories for the entire family. As of October 27, 2012, the Company operated 513 stores in 29 states.

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of October 27, 2012, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the thirty-nine and thirteen week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the thirty-nine week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011 have been prepared by the Company without audit. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 28, 2012 has been derived from the audited financial statements as of that date, but does not include all required year-end disclosures. In the opinion of management, such statements include all adjustments considered necessary to present fairly the Company’s financial position as of October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012, and its results of operations and cash flows for all periods presented. It is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company’s latest Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 28, 2012.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. Operating results for the interim periods ended October 27, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending February 2, 2013.

The following contains references to years 2012 and 2011, which represent fiscal years ending or ended on February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, respectively. Fiscal 2012 has a 53-week accounting period and fiscal 2011 had a 52-week accounting period.

## 2. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and use assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant estimates made by management include those used in the valuation of inventory, property and equipment, self-insurance liabilities, leases and income taxes. Management periodically evaluates estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements for continued reasonableness. Appropriate adjustments, if any, to the estimates used are made prospectively based on such periodic evaluations.

## 3. Cash and Cash Equivalents/Concentration of Credit Risk

For purposes of the condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities at date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents in what it believes to be high credit quality banks and institutional money market funds. The Company maintains cash accounts that exceed federally insured limits.

## 4. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per common share amounts are calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share amounts are calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the additional dilution for all potentially dilutive securities, such as nonvested restricted stock and stock options. During loss periods, diluted loss per share amounts are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, because the inclusion of common stock equivalents would be antidilutive.

The dilutive effect of stock-based compensation arrangements is accounted for using the treasury stock method. This method assumes that the proceeds the Company receives from the exercise of stock options are used to repurchase common shares in the market. The Company includes as assumed proceeds the amount of compensation cost attributed to future services and not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits, if any, that would be credited to additional paid-in capital assuming exercise of outstanding options and vesting of nonvested restricted stock. For the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, there were 46,000 and 52,000 stock options, respectively, and 393,000 and 367,000 shares of nonvested restricted stock, respectively, excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because of antidilution. For the thirteen weeks ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, there were 48,000 and 57,000 stock options, respectively, and 476,000 and 361,000 shares of nonvested restricted stock, respectively, excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because of antidilution.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the average number of common shares outstanding used to calculate basic earnings per share to the number of common shares and common stock equivalents outstanding used in calculating diluted earnings per share for the thirty-nine and thirteen week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011:

	<u>Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>October 27, 2012</u>	<u>October 29, 2011</u>
Average number of common shares outstanding	14,661,910	14,583,804
Incremental shares from assumed exercises of stock options	—	—
Incremental shares from assumed vesting of nonvested restricted stock	—	—
Average number of common shares and common stock equivalents outstanding	<u>14,661,910</u>	<u>14,583,804</u>
	<u>Thirteen Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>October 27, 2012</u>	<u>October 29, 2011</u>
Average number of common shares outstanding	14,676,817	14,601,544
Incremental shares from assumed exercises of stock options	—	—
Incremental shares from assumed vesting of nonvested restricted stock	—	—
Average number of common shares and common stock equivalents outstanding	<u>14,676,817</u>	<u>14,601,544</u>

## 5. Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market at the measurement date. Fair value is established according to a hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. Level 3 inputs are given the lowest priority in the fair value hierarchy.

As of October 27, 2012, the Company's investment securities are classified as held-to-maturity since the Company has the intent and ability to hold the investments to maturity. Such securities are carried at amortized cost plus accrued interest and consist of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
<b>Short-term:</b>				
Obligations of states and municipalities (Level 2)	\$ 257	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 258
Bank certificates of deposit (Level 2)	4,477	1	—	4,478
	<u>\$ 4,734</u>	<u>\$ 2</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4,736</u>
<b>Long-term:</b>				
Obligations of the U. S. Treasury (Level 1)	\$ 4,991	\$ 47	\$ —	\$ 5,038
Obligations of states and municipalities (Level 2)	1,495	11	—	1,506
Bank certificates of deposit (Level 2)	8,471	3	—	8,474
	<u>\$ 14,957</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 15,018</u>

The amortized cost and fair market value of investment securities as of October 27, 2012 by contractual maturity are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Fair Market Value
Mature in one year or less	\$ 4,734	\$ 4,736
Mature after one year through five years	14,957	15,018
	<u>\$ 19,691</u>	<u>\$ 19,754</u>

7

## [Table of Contents](#)

As of January 28, 2012, the Company's investment securities were classified as held-to-maturity and consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
<b>Short-term:</b>				
Bank certificates of deposit (Level 2)	\$ 902	\$ 1	\$ —	\$ 903
<b>Long-term:</b>				
Obligations of the U. S. Treasury (Level 1)	\$ 4,986	\$ 77	\$ —	\$ 5,063
Obligations of states and municipalities (Level 2)	1,809	19	—	1,828
Bank certificates of deposit (Level 2)	12,045	—	1	12,044
	<u>\$ 18,840</u>	<u>\$ 96</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 18,935</u>

The amortized cost and fair market value of investment securities as of January 28, 2012 by contractual maturity were as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Fair Market Value
Mature in one year or less	\$ 902	\$ 903
Mature after one year through five years	18,840	18,935
	<u>\$ 19,742</u>	<u>\$ 19,838</u>

There were no changes among the levels in the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012.

Fair market values of Level 2 investments are determined by management with the assistance of a third party pricing service. Since quoted prices in active markets for identical assets are not available, these prices are determined by the third party pricing service using observable market information such as quotes from less active markets and quoted prices of similar securities.

## 6. Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

If facts and circumstances indicate that a long-lived asset may be impaired, the carrying value is reviewed. If this review indicates that the carrying value of the asset will not be recovered as determined based on projected undiscounted cash flows related to the asset over its remaining life, the carrying value of the asset is reduced to its estimated fair value. Non-cash impairment expense related to leasehold improvements and fixtures and equipment at three

underperforming stores totaled \$0.7 million in the thirty-nine and thirteen week periods ended October 27, 2012. Impairment expense totaled \$2.3 million in the thirty-nine week period and \$0.7 million in the thirteen-week period ended October 29, 2011.

## 7. Revolving Line of Credit

On October 27, 2011, the Company entered into a five-year, \$50 million credit facility with Bank of America to replace its prior \$20 million credit facility. The facility includes a \$25 million uncommitted "accordion" feature that under certain circumstances could allow the Company to increase the size of the facility to \$75 million. Borrowings, if any, under the facility will bear interest (a) for LIBOR Rate Loans, at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or (b) for Base Rate Loans, at a rate equal to the highest of (i) the prime rate plus 0.5%, (ii) the Federal Funds Rate plus 1.0%, or (iii) LIBOR plus 1.5%. The facility is secured by the Company's inventory, accounts receivable and related assets, but not its real estate, fixtures and equipment, and it contains one financial covenant, a fixed charge coverage ratio, which is applicable and tested only in certain circumstances. The facility has an unused commitment fee of 0.25% and permits the payment of cash dividends subject to certain limitations, including a requirement that there were no borrowings outstanding in the 30 days prior to the dividend payment and no borrowings are expected in the 30 days subsequent to the payment. The Company has had no borrowings under either the existing or prior facility.

## 8. Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of its deferred tax assets will not be realized.

## [Table of Contents](#)

For the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012, and October 29, 2011, the Company has utilized the discrete effective tax rate method, as allowed by ASC 740-270, "Income Taxes - Interim Reporting," to calculate income taxes. Under the discrete method, the Company determines its tax expense based upon actual results as if the interim period were an annual period. ASC 740 requires companies to apply their estimated full-year tax rate on a year-to-date basis in each interim period unless the estimated full-year tax rate is not reliably predictable. For the thirty-nine week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, the Company concluded that the use of the discrete method was more appropriate than the annual effective tax rate method, because the annual rate method would not be reliable due to its sensitivity to minimal changes in forecasted annual pre-tax earnings. The effective income tax rate for the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 includes the benefit of various tax credits and is higher than the rate for the thirty-nine weeks ended October 29, 2011 due primarily to a reduction in deferred tax assets of \$0.7 million in 2011 in connection with the establishment of a valuation allowance after the Company concluded that its ability to utilize tax credits in one state was no longer more likely than not.

## 9. Other Long-Term Liabilities

The components of other long-term liabilities as of October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	October 27, 2012	January 28, 2012
Deferred rent	\$ 3,649	\$ 4,433
Tenant improvement allowances	6,045	7,158
Other	1,432	1,165
	<u>\$ 11,126</u>	<u>\$ 12,756</u>

## 10. Commitments and Contingencies

On August 12, 2011, the Company received a letter of determination from the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC") commencing a conciliation process regarding alleged discrimination against males by the Company in its hiring and promotion practices during the years 2004 through 2006. In its letter of determination, the EEOC sought recovery in the amount of \$0.2 million on behalf of a former male employee and in the additional amount of \$3.8 million in a settlement fund for a class of unidentified males who sought or considered seeking manager or assistant manager positions in the Company's stores. The EEOC also seeks certain undertakings by the Company with regard to its employment policies and procedures and a reporting obligation to the EEOC with respect to the Company's compliance with these undertakings.

The Company has not received full documentation or information from the EEOC in support of its letter of determination, but has undertaken its own internal analysis of the EEOC's claims and defenses to such claims and has had discussions with the EEOC in that regard. Following discussions with the EEOC regarding possible settlement, the EEOC has proposed a settlement amount to be paid by the Company of \$2.5 million, with any unclaimed funds following efforts to identify and compensate claimants to be directed to one or more charities. In the interest of reaching a satisfactory conciliation agreement with the EEOC, the Company has proposed a total economic settlement offer of \$1.0 million to cover all claims and the expenses of administering and complying with the settlement (excluding professional fees), with no reversion of unclaimed funds back to the Company. The Company is currently in discussions with the EEOC regarding these offers for settlement, including the non-economic terms of settlement, and options for resolution of the matter. The Company is also evaluating other aspects of the conciliation process established by the EEOC.

On February 24, 2012, a suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Middle Division, by certain individuals as a purported collective action on behalf of current and former employees of the Company holding store managerial positions. The plaintiffs allege that store managers have been improperly classified as exempt from the obligation to pay overtime in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act. The Company intends to vigorously defend the claims that have been asserted in this lawsuit. Because of the early stage of this action and the fact that no discovery has been conducted to date, the Company is unable to determine the probability of outcome and it is not reasonably possible to estimate a range of loss with respect to this matter. Accordingly, no accrual for costs has been recorded, and the potential impact of this matter on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows cannot be determined at this time.

The Company from time to time is also involved in various other legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of its business, including claims by customers, employees or former employees. Once it becomes probable that the Company will incur costs in connection with a legal proceeding and such costs can be

reasonably estimated, it establishes appropriate reserves. While legal proceedings are subject to uncertainties and the outcome of any such matter is not predictable, the Company is not aware of any other legal proceedings pending or threatened against it that it expects to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

**Forward-Looking Statements**

Except for specific historical information, many of the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q may express or imply projections of revenues or expenditures, statements of plans and objectives for future operations, growth or initiatives, statements of future economic performance, or statements regarding the outcome or impact of pending or threatened litigation. These, and similar statements, are forward-looking statements concerning matters that involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual performance of the Company to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these statements. All forward-looking information should be evaluated in the context of these risks, uncertainties and other factors. The words “believe,” “anticipate,” “project,” “plan,” “expect,” “estimate,” “objective,” “forecast,” “goal,” “intend,” “will likely result,” or “will continue” and similar words and expressions generally identify forward-looking statements. The Company believes the assumptions underlying these forward-looking statements are reasonable; however, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore, actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

The factors that may result in actual results differing from such forward-looking information include, but are not limited to: transportation and distribution delays or interruptions; changes in freight rates; the Company’s ability to negotiate effectively the cost and purchase of merchandise; inventory risks due to shifts in market demand; the Company’s ability to gauge fashion trends and changing consumer preferences; changes in consumer spending on apparel; changes in product mix; interruptions in suppliers’ businesses; a deterioration in general economic conditions caused by acts of war or terrorism or other factors; temporary changes in demand due to weather patterns; seasonality of the Company’s business; delays associated with building, opening and operating new stores; delays associated with building, opening or expanding new or existing distribution centers; and other factors described in the section titled “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and elsewhere in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 and in Part II, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” and elsewhere in the Company’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and any amendments thereto and in the other documents the Company files with the SEC, including reports on Form 8-K.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. Except as may be required by law, the Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers are advised, however, to read any further disclosures the Company may make on related subjects in its public disclosures or documents filed with the SEC, including reports on Form 8-K.

**Overview**

We are a value-priced retailer of urban fashion apparel and accessories for the entire family. Our merchandise offerings are designed to appeal to the preferences of fashion conscious consumers, particularly African-Americans. We operated 513 stores in both urban and rural markets in 29 states as of October 27, 2012.

We measure performance using key operating statistics. One of the main performance measures we use is comparable store sales growth. We define a comparable store as a store that has been opened for an entire fiscal year. Therefore, a store will not be considered a comparable store until its 13th month of operation at the earliest or until its 24th month at the latest. As an example, stores opened in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012 are not considered comparable stores in fiscal 2012. Relocated and expanded stores are included in the comparable store sales results. We also use other operating statistics, most notably average sales per store, to measure our performance. As we typically occupy existing space in established shopping centers rather than sites built specifically for our stores, store square footage (and therefore sales per square foot) varies by store. We focus on overall store sales volume as the critical driver of profitability. The average sales per store has increased over the years, as we have increased comparable store sales and opened new stores that are generally larger than our historical store base. Average sales per store have increased from \$0.8 million in fiscal 2000 to \$1.3 million in fiscal 2011.

In addition to sales, we measure gross profit as a percentage of sales and store operating expenses, with a particular focus on labor, as a percentage of sales. These results translate into store level contribution, which we use to evaluate overall performance of each individual store. Finally, we monitor corporate expenses against budgeted amounts. All of the statistics discussed above are critical components of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (“EBITDA”) and Adjusted EBITDA (comprised of EBITDA plus non-cash asset impairment expense), which are considered our most important operating statistics. Although EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA provide useful information on an operating cash flow basis, they are limited measures in that they exclude the impact of cash requirements for capital expenditures, income taxes and interest expense. Therefore, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should be used as supplements to results of operations and cash flows as reported under U.S. GAAP and should not be used as a singular measure of operating performance or as a substitute for U.S. GAAP results. Provided below is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA and to Adjusted EBITDA for the thirty-nine and thirteen week periods ended October 27, 2012, and October 29, 2011:

[Table of Contents](#)

	<u>Thirty-Nine Weeks Ended</u>		<u>Thirteen Weeks Ended</u>	
	<u>October 27, 2012</u>	<u>October 29, 2011</u>	<u>October 27, 2012</u>	<u>October 29, 2011</u>
Net loss	\$ (1,521)	\$ (4,699)	\$ (3,700)	\$ (6,761)
Plus:				
Interest expense	163	27	50	17
Income tax expense	—	—	—	—



Depreciation and amortization	18,153	18,389	5,970	6,454
Less:				
Interest income	(194)	(180)	(66)	(61)
Income tax benefit	(1,736)	(3,982)	(2,869)	(5,246)
EBITDA	14,865	9,555	(615)	(5,597)
Asset impairment	660	2,305	660	696
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 15,525	\$ 11,860	\$ 45	\$ (4,901)

## Accounting Periods

The following discussion contains references to fiscal years 2012 and 2011, which represent fiscal years ending or ended on February 2, 2013 and January 28, 2012, respectively. Fiscal 2012 has a 53-week accounting period and fiscal 2011 had a 52-week accounting period. This discussion and analysis should be read with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.

## Results of Operations

The following discussion of the Company's financial performance is based on the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements set forth herein. The nature of the Company's business is seasonal. Historically, sales in the first and fourth quarters have been higher than sales achieved in the second and third quarters of the fiscal year. Expenses and, to a greater extent, operating income, vary by quarter. Results of a period shorter than a full year may not be indicative of results expected for the entire year. Furthermore, the seasonal nature of the Company's business may affect comparisons between periods.

### Thirty-nine Weeks Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011

*Net Sales.* Net sales increased \$16.5 million, or 3.6%, to \$479.0 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 from \$462.5 million in the thirty-nine weeks ended October 29, 2011. The increase in net sales was due primarily to the opening of 55 new stores throughout fiscal 2011 and four new stores in the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012, partially offset by a 3.1% decrease in comparable store sales and the effect of closing five stores in fiscal 2011 and two stores in fiscal 2012. Comparable stores include locations that have been relocated or expanded. There were 14 stores relocated or expanded in fiscal 2011 and three stores relocated or expanded in the 39 weeks ended October 27, 2012. Sales in comparable relocated and expanded stores increased 0.4% in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012, while sales in all other comparable stores decreased 3.3%. The 3.1% overall decrease in comparable store sales was reflected entirely in a decline in the average ticket, as the number of customer transactions was up 1.6% from last year. Comparable store sales changes by major merchandise class were as follows in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012: Accessories +7%; Men's 0%; Home -2%; Children's -4%; and Women's -12%.

The new stores opened in 2011 and 2012, net of closed stores, accounted for an increase of \$30.0 million in total sales, while the 3.1% sales decrease in the 454 comparable stores totaled \$13.5 million.

*Gross Profit.* Gross profit increased \$3.6 million, or 2.1%, to \$170.3 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 from \$166.7 million in last year's first thirty-nine weeks. The increase in gross profit is a result of the increase in sales discussed above, partially offset by a decline in the gross margin to 35.5% from 36.0% in last year's first thirty-nine weeks. The lower gross margin was impacted by a 30 basis point increase in freight costs attributable primarily to freight incurred on shipments of merchandise from the distribution centers to the stores in connection with raising inventory levels this year. Additionally, shrinkage expense increased 20 basis points.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, to \$154.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 from \$154.8 million in last year's first thirty-nine weeks. The decrease in these expenses was due primarily to \$1.2 million of severance expense incurred last year related to a reduction-in-force that eliminated 40 positions in our corporate offices, distribution centers and store organization, which when combined with the decrease in our ongoing payroll expense from the reduction-in-force, virtually offset the additional store level, distribution and corporate costs arising from opening new stores throughout fiscal 2011 and

## [Table of Contents](#)

2012. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to 32.3% in the first thirty-nine weeks of fiscal 2012 from 33.5% in the first thirty-nine weeks of fiscal 2011 due primarily to the benefits associated with the reduction-in-force.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 1.3%, to \$18.2 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 from \$18.4 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011, due to the slowing of our store opening pace in 2012.

*Asset Impairment.* Impairment charges for property and equipment at certain underperforming stores decreased \$1.6 million, or 71.4% to \$0.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 from \$2.3 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011.

*Income Tax Benefit.* Income tax benefit decreased \$2.3 million, or 56.4%, to \$1.7 million in this year's first thirty-nine weeks from \$4.0 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011 due primarily to a decrease in pretax loss, partially offset by an increase in the effective income tax benefit rate to 53.3% from 45.9%. The income tax benefit rate for the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 was higher than the rate for last year's first thirty-nine weeks, partially due to a valuation allowance of \$0.7 million which was established in the second quarter of 2011 when the Company concluded that its ability to utilize certain tax credits in one state was no longer more likely than not. The effect of the 2011 valuation allowance on the tax rate comparison between years was partially offset by the effect on 2012 of the expiration of the Work Opportunity Tax Credit (WOTC) which reduced the amount of these credits available to the Company in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

*Net Loss.* Net loss decreased 67.6% to \$1.5 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 from a net loss of \$4.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011 due to the factors discussed above.

## Thirteen Weeks Ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011

**Net Sales.** Net sales increased \$5.9 million, or 4.1%, to \$149.0 million in the thirteen weeks ended October 27, 2012 from \$143.1 million in the thirteen weeks ended October 29, 2011. The increase in net sales was due primarily to the opening of 26 new stores in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 and nine new stores opened since last year's third quarter, together with a 0.5% increase in comparable store sales, partially offset by the effect of closing three stores since last year's third quarter. Comparable stores include locations that have been relocated or expanded. There were four stores relocated or expanded in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 and four other stores relocated or expanded since last year's third quarter. Sales in all comparable relocated and expanded stores decreased 0.8% in the third quarter of 2012, while sales in all other comparable stores increased 0.5%. The 0.5% overall increase in comparable store sales was reflected in an increase in the number of customer transactions of 4.3% from last year, partially offset by a decline in the average ticket. Comparable store sales changes by major merchandise class were as follows in the third quarter of 2012: Accessories +12%; Home +4%; Men's +1%; Children's -4%; and Women's -12%.

The new stores opened in 2011 and 2012, net of closed stores, accounted for an increase of \$5.3 million in total sales, while the 0.5% sales increase in the 454 comparable stores totaled \$0.6 million.

**Gross Profit.** Gross profit increased \$3.0 million, or 6.3%, to \$51.2 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$48.2 million in last year's third quarter. The increase in gross profit is a result of the increase in sales discussed above and an increase in the gross margin to 34.4% from 33.7% in last year's third quarter. The higher gross margin was due to an increase in the core merchandise margin (initial mark-up, net of markdowns) of 140 basis points resulting from a greater need for clearance markdowns in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 when comparable store sales declined 9.3%. An increase in freight and shrinkage expense of 50 basis points and 20 basis points, respectively, partially offset the improvement in the core merchandise margin. The higher freight costs were attributable primarily to freight incurred on shipments of merchandise from the distribution centers to the stores in connection with raising inventory levels this year.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$2.0 million, or 3.6%, to \$51.1 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$53.1 million in last year's third quarter. The decrease in these expenses was due primarily to \$1.2 million of severance expense incurred last year related to a reduction-in-force that eliminated 40 positions in our corporate offices, distribution centers and store organization, which when combined with the decrease in our ongoing payroll expense from the reduction-in-force, more than offset the additional store level, distribution and corporate costs arising from the opening of 26 new stores in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 and nine new stores opened since last year's third quarter. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to 34.3% in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 from 37.1% in the third quarter of fiscal 2011, due primarily to the benefits associated with the reduction-in-force.

**Depreciation and Amortization.** Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.5 million, or 7.5%, to \$6.0 million in the third quarter of 2012 from \$6.5 million in the third quarter of 2011, due to the slowing of our store opening pace in 2012.

**Asset Impairment.** Impairment charges for property and equipment at certain underperforming stores totaled \$0.7 million in the third quarter of both 2012 and 2011.

**Income Tax Benefit.** Income tax benefit decreased \$2.3 million, or 45.3%, to \$2.9 million in this year's third quarter from \$5.2 million in the third quarter of 2011 due to a decrease in pretax loss, as the effective income tax rate was unchanged at 43.7%.

**Net Loss.** Net loss decreased 45.3% to \$3.7 million in the third quarter of 2012 from a net loss of \$6.8 million in the third quarter of 2011 due to the factors discussed above.

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our cash requirements are primarily for working capital, opening of new stores, remodeling of our existing stores and the improvement of our information systems. In recent years, we have met these cash requirements using cash flow from operations and short-term trade credit. We expect to be able to meet future cash requirements with cash flow from operations, short-term trade credit, existing balances of cash and investment securities and, if necessary, borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

**Current Financial Condition.** As of October 27, 2012, we had total cash and cash equivalents of \$36.2 million compared to \$42.0 million as of January 28, 2012. Additionally, we had \$4.7 million and \$15.0 million of short-term and long-term investment securities, respectively, as of October 27, 2012, compared with \$0.9 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, as of January 28, 2012. These securities are comprised of bank certificates of deposit and obligations of the U.S. Treasury, states and municipalities. Inventory represented 48.5% of our total assets as of October 27, 2012. Management's ability to manage our inventory can have a significant impact on our cash flows from operations during a given interim period or fiscal year. In addition, inventory purchases can be seasonal in nature, such as the purchase of warm-weather or Christmas-related merchandise.

**Cash Flows From Operating Activities.** Net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.8 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 compared to \$7.5 million in the same period of 2011. The main source of cash provided during the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 was net income adjusted for noncash expenses such as depreciation and amortization, loss on disposal of property and equipment, deferred income taxes and stock-based compensation expense, totaling \$18.7 million (compared to \$18.4 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011). Other significant sources of cash in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 were (1) a \$7.9 million change in the income tax receivable/payable (compared to a use of cash of \$8.2 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011), which is comprised of income tax refunds, net of payments, totaling \$9.0 million, net of the current portion of the income tax benefit of \$1.1 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012; and (2) a \$1.9 million increase in layaway deposits (compared to \$2.9 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011) due to the seasonality of layaway transactions, which are low at the end of our fiscal year because all balances have to be redeemed by customers or they are cancelled by the middle of December each year. Significant uses of cash included a \$15.2 million increase in inventory (compared to \$5.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011) due to (a) a strategic change in our inventory flow that resulted in the receipt of cold-weather apparel earlier this year in order to provide a larger window of opportunity to sell it at full price before marking it down after Christmas, and (b) an increase in opportunistic purchases of next-season-buy merchandise consistent with our merchandising shift back more towards the off-price philosophy that we had followed prior to 2011. Such merchandise is purchased at heavy discounts near the end of a season and held until the beginning of that same season the following year. Other significant uses of cash

included (1) an \$11.8 million decrease in accounts payable (compared to \$3.8 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011) as the result of the shift more towards next-season-buys which are held in our distribution centers for several months and, therefore, paid for prior to being offered for sale in our stores; and (2) a \$1.6 million decrease in accrued compensation (compared to a source of cash of \$0.3 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011) as our year-end balance sheet included accrued payroll for two weeks and our third quarter balance sheet included accrued payroll for only one week due to the timing of our bi-weekly payroll.

*Cash Flows From Investing Activities.* Cash used in investing activities was \$5.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 compared to \$43.7 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011. Cash used for purchases of property and equipment totaled \$5.8 million and \$33.8 million in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2012 and 2011, respectively, with the decrease resulting from opening three stores in the first thirty-nine weeks of fiscal 2012 compared to 50 in the first thirty-nine weeks of last year. In addition, the equipping of the new distribution center in Roland, Oklahoma occurred in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Other uses of cash in the first thirty-nine weeks of 2011 consisted of purchases, net of sales/redemptions, of investment securities totaling \$9.9 million.

*Cash Flows From Financing Activities.* Cash flows from financing activities were insignificant in the first thirty-nine weeks of both 2012 and 2011.

### **Cash Requirements**

Our principal sources of liquidity consist of: (i) cash and cash equivalents (which equaled \$36.2 million as of October 27, 2012); (ii) short-term and long-term investment securities (which equaled \$4.7 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, as of October 27, 2012); (iii) short-term trade credit; (iv) cash generated from operations on an ongoing basis as we sell our merchandise inventory; and (v) a \$50 million revolving credit facility. Trade credit represents a significant source of financing for inventory purchases and arises from customary payment terms and trade practices with our vendors. Historically, our principal liquidity requirements have been for working capital and capital expenditure needs.

We believe that our existing sources of liquidity will be sufficient to fund our operations and anticipated capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. There have been no material changes to the Critical Accounting Policies outlined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 28, 2012.

### [Table of Contents](#)

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

There have been no material changes in our market risk during the thirty-nine weeks ended October 27, 2012 compared to the disclosures in Part II, Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 28, 2012.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

We have carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of October 27, 2012 pursuant to Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer each concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information has been accumulated and communicated to our management, including the officers who certify our financial reports, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosures.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the controls and procedures will meet their objectives. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended October 27, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### [Table of Contents](#)

## **PART II — OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

On August 12, 2011, we received a letter of determination from the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "EEOC") commencing a conciliation process regarding alleged discrimination against males by us in our hiring and promotion practices during the years 2004 through 2006. In its letter of determination, the EEOC sought recovery in the amount of \$0.2 million on behalf of a former male employee and in the additional amount of \$3.8 million in a settlement fund for a class of unidentified males who sought or considered seeking manager or assistant manager positions in our stores. The EEOC also seeks certain undertakings by us with regard to our employment policies and procedures and a reporting obligation to the EEOC with respect to our compliance with these undertakings.

We have not received full documentation or information from the EEOC in support of its letter of determination, but have undertaken our own internal analysis of the EEOC's claims and defenses to such claims and have had discussions with the EEOC in that regard. Following discussions with the EEOC regarding possible settlement, the EEOC has proposed a settlement amount to be paid by us of \$2.5 million, with any unclaimed funds following efforts to identify and compensate claimants to be directed to one or more charities. In the interest of reaching a satisfactory conciliation agreement with the EEOC, we have proposed a total economic settlement offer of \$1.0 million to cover all claims and the expenses of administering and complying with the settlement (excluding professional fees), with no reversion of unclaimed funds back to us. We are currently in discussions with the EEOC regarding these offers for settlement, including the non-economic terms of settlement, and options for resolution of the matter. We are also evaluating other aspects of the conciliation process established by the EEOC.

On February 24, 2012, a suit was filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, Middle Division, by certain individuals as a purported collective action on behalf of current and former employees of the Company holding store managerial positions. The plaintiffs allege that store managers have been improperly classified as exempt from the obligation to pay overtime in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act. We intend to vigorously defend the claims that have been asserted in this lawsuit. Because of the early stage of this action and the fact that no discovery has been conducted to date, the Company is unable to determine the probability of outcome and it is not reasonably possible to estimate a range of loss with respect to this matter. Accordingly, no accrual for costs has been recorded, and the potential impact of this matter on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows cannot be determined at this time.

We are from time to time also involved in various other legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of our business, including claims by customers, employees or former employees. Once it becomes probable that we will incur costs in connection with a legal proceeding and such costs can be reasonably estimated, we establish appropriate reserves. While legal proceedings are subject to uncertainties and the outcome of any such matter is not predictable, we are not aware of any other legal proceedings pending or threatened against us that we expect to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

#### **Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

There are no material changes to the Risk Factors described under the section "ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012.

#### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

Not applicable.

#### **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

Not applicable.

#### **Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

#### **Item 5. Other Information.**

Not applicable.

---

#### [Table of Contents](#)

#### **Item 6. Exhibits.**

31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.* †
101	The following financial information from Citi Trends, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 27, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of October 27, 2012 and January 28, 2012, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the thirty-nine and thirteen week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the thirty-nine week periods ended October 27, 2012 and October 29, 2011, and (iv) Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.^

---

\* Filed herewith.

† Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Release No. 33-8238, this certification will be treated as "accompanying" this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and not "filed" as part of such report for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and this certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

^ In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall be deemed "furnished" and not "filed".

[Table of Contents](#)

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, and the undersigned also has signed this report in his capacity as the Registrant's Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer).

CITI TRENDS, INC.

Date: December 5, 2012

By: /s/ Bruce D. Smith  
Name: Bruce D. Smith  
Title: Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

## CERTIFICATION

I, R. Edward Anderson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 27, 2012 of Citi Trends, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 5, 2012

/s/ R. Edward Anderson

\_\_\_\_\_  
R. Edward Anderson  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

---

## CERTIFICATION

I, Bruce D. Smith, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended October 27, 2012 of Citi Trends, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 5, 2012

/s/ Bruce D. Smith

\_\_\_\_\_  
Bruce D. Smith  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

---

Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted).

I, R. Edward Anderson, Chief Executive Officer of Citi Trends, Inc.,

and

I, Bruce D. Smith, Chief Financial Officer of Citi Trends, Inc., certify that:

1. We have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Citi Trends, Inc. for the period ended October 27, 2012;
2. Based on our knowledge, this quarterly report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
3. Based on our knowledge, the financial statements, and other information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report.

Date: December 5, 2012

/s/ R. Edward Anderson  
R. Edward Anderson  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: December 5, 2012

/s/ Bruce D. Smith  
Bruce D. Smith  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Citi Trends, Inc. and will be retained by Citi Trends, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

---